Can we identify effects from micronutrients in nutritional epidemiology studies?

Natalia Ortega^{1,2,3}

¹ Bern Institute for Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland ² Population Health Laboratory (#PopHealthLab), University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland ^a Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, US

INTRODUCTION

It has been argued that effects from micronutrients are unidentifiable because they lay in a food matrix and this can also affect the outcome of interest, and therefore it is not possible to separate one effect from the other. When designing target trial interested in the effect of a micronutrients (i.e., sodium), we can imagine we randomize people to

a Unprocessed meat

a, Processed meat

 $E(Y^{a_1} = 1 | L, C = 0) - E(Y^{a_0} = 1 | L, C = 0)$

to estimate the per-protocol effect of a point isocaloric intervention (full adherence, no loss to follow-up).

Two limitations for identification in observational data:

a) Foods used as a comparison may not be fully equivalent exept for the micronutrient of interest (unprocessed meat + salt ≠ processed meat) b) Unmeasured confounding by past diet and participants' characteristics

AIM: Propose identification potentially overcoming these two limitations.

METHODS

a) Separable effects (conditional separable direct effect)

$$E(Y^{ac,as=1} = 1 | W^{ac,as=1}, L, C=0) - E(Y^{ac,as=0} = 1 | W^{ac,as=0}, L, C=0)$$

 $E[Y^{a_1} = 1|Z,W,U] - E[Y^{a_0} = 1|Z,W,U]$



ESTIMATION STRATEGY

- Inclusion criteria: meat eaters in Rotterdam Study (n=11k) non-naïve to the treatment
- Threshold intervention a_0 replace all processed for unprocessed maintaining all the diet the same.
 - a_1 replace all unprocessed for processed maintaining all the diet the same.
- Replacements require two observations of the diet to define treated vs. control leading to immortal time bias.
- Population specific effect depending on their baseline consumption.

CONCLUSION

- Effect of removing sodium from processed food, not the effect of sodium overall
- Crazy assumptions
 - Time-varying nature of the exposure treatment-confounder feedback under realistic assumtions
 - Time-varying confounding
 - Positivity: not likely to observe complete replacements
- Methods that can accomodate a suitable for a number of exposures/outcomes



References

Stensrud et al. 2022 10.1007/s10985-021-09530-8 Zivich et al. 2023 10.1093/aje/kwad077 Liu et al. 2024 10.48550/arXiv.2402.00335 Park et al. 2024 10.48550/arXiv.2402.11020