# #Pop Health Lab

# ASSESSING SURVEILLANCE BIAS IN CANCER USING EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SIGNATURES

Stefano Tancredi <sup>1,2</sup>, Bernadette van der Linden <sup>1,2</sup>, Laura Rosella <sup>3,4</sup>, Arnaud Chiolero <sup>1,2,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Population Health Laboratory, University of Fribourg, 1700 Fribourg, Switzerland; <sup>2</sup> Swiss School of Public Health (SSPH+), Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>3</sup> Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada; <sup>4</sup> Institute for Better Health, Trillium Health Partners, Mississauga, Canada, <sup>5</sup> School of Population and Global Health, McGill University, Montreal, Canada; <sup>6</sup> Institute of Primary Health Care (BIHAM), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

### BACKGROUND

Surveillance bias occurs when variations in cancer incidence are the result of changes in screening or diagnostic practices rather than increases in the true occurrence of cancer. This bias can result in the wrong assessment of the true burden of cancer and can be apprehended using epidemiological signatures.

#### AIM

To assess surveillance bias in the incidence of melanoma and lung cancer in Switzerland using epidemiological signatures.

#### **METHODS**

- We assessed surveillance bias using epidemiological signatures of melanoma and lung cancer in Switzerland, using data from 1981 to 2020 retrieved from the National Institute for Cancer Epidemiology and Registration.
- These signatures consist of age-standardized incidence and mortality trends. A decoupling between incidence and mortality trends indicates that surveillance bias is probable, as mortality is less influenced by variations in screening and diagnostic practices and is more representative of true cancer occurrence.

## RESULTS

- Melanoma exhibits a signature indicating surveillance bias: increasing incidence, which is not followed by an increasing mortality. This suggests that the rise in incidence is
  likely attributable to a high proportion of overdiagnosed cases due to frequent skin examinations.
- Lung cancer exhibits a signature less influenced by surveillance bias, with parallel changes in incidence and mortality, reflecting changes in the prevalence of risk factors (smoking) rather than screening or diagnostic practices.

Figure 1: Epidemiological signature of melanoma in Switzerland. Panel A: age-standardized incidence and mortality, all ages, 1981-2020; Panel B: incidence and mortality relative to 1981-1985





1981-1985 1986-1990 1991-1995 1996-2000 2001-2005 2006-2010 2011-2015 2016-2020 —Incidence relative to 1981-1985 —Mortality relative to 1981-1985

Figure 3. Epidemiological signature of lung, trachea, and bronchus cancer in Switzerland. Panel A and B: age-standardized incidence and mortality in women and men respectively, all ages, 1981-2020; Panel C and D: incidence and mortality relative to 1981-1985 in women and men respectively

0



1981-1985 1986-1990 1991-1995 1996-2000 2001-2005 2006-2010 2011-2015 2016-2020 —Incidence relative to 1981-1985 —Mortality relative to 1981-1985

#### **KEY MESSAGES**

1981-1985 1986-1990 1991-1995 1996-2000 2001-2005 2006-2010 2011-2015 2016-2020 —Incidence relative to 1981-1985 —Mortality relative to 1981-1985

Accounting for surveillance bias is particularly important for assessing the true burden of cancer and interpreting incidence trends. It is also crucial for accurately communicating cancer information to the population and decision-makers. Epidemiological signatures help in the assessment of this bias, easing the understanding of cancer surveillance data.

Conflict of interest: none Like to know more?



UNI

FR